

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 1, 2019 at 3:18 pm

“You do know Christianity isn’t about having a magic genie on hand to grant wishes when we’re in a sticky situation? Were you by any chance brought up in some overly charismatic church which taught that?”

it’s a pity that your bible says exactly the opposite of your claim, NSR. IT says that prayers will be answered quickly, that anything can be asked, that the one praying will get what they ask for since a father would not give a snake if asked for a fish. It claims that this god will take care of believers like the birds and lilies.

Is the bible lying?

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 1, 2019 at 3:20 pm

“You this it’s okay for Krauss to cheat on his wife?  
That’s a yes or no question.”

Nope, and I come to that idea from my subjective morality based on civilization.

Now, JB, is it okay for a Christian to support a man who cheats on his wife?

And alas, since your god is imaginary, it is only in your fantasies that this god would “damn” me. It’s good to see you lie to excuse your inability to support your claims.

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting **moderation**.*  
October 2, 2019 at 1:18 pm

Blog owner, will you let my posts out of **moderation** or will you try to make it seem like no one has an answer to these comments? That would be deceitful.

[clubschadenfreude](#) says:

Your comment is awaiting **moderation**.

October 14, 2019 at 11:47 am

Again, Michael, you seem to need to imagine that atheists are all the same, and your presupposition has you repeatedly failing.

Then we have you trying to lie about me and insisting that I can't discuss something in an "open and fair-minded manner". That's such a lovely excuse that you've invented for yourself and it does an excellent job that you have no problem in ignoring your bible when its inconvenient. It does say that lying about others is a no-no in several places, Exodus 20, Romans 3, etc. It doesn't bother me at all that you ignore your bible.

The writings of Paul do help with mosaic law, and to show how that, in needing a new audience, Paul ignored the laws when convenient and kept those that he wanted, just like any Christian. It's always so fun when a Christian insists that they can ignore some parts of Paul's letter while insisting that other parts are required for every Christian to follow.

Hmmm, so we can ignore the parts in Paul that were written to the Romans since he must have only mean the Romans had to hate homosexuals, right? OR is that part of the letter he/this god "really" wanted everyone to follow?

Yep, moral commands are throughout the bible, and aren't any more important or divinely true than other parts of the bible you don't like. And it's fabulous to see so many Christians ignoring then when it comes to supporting politicians. That adultery is repeated is no surprise, other commands are too.

No one cares what your willful ignorance says, Michael. There is killing children for the actions of the parents as a persistent universal theme through the bible. I do love when you try to play word games to excuse your cherry picking. We have

we get Christians making up things like it was "more humane" to kill them. Its in the OT and its in the NT in that mess called Revelation.

Who cares what Dawkins says? Again, Michael, you are just lying again to try to pretend that atheists worship other atheists.

Finally, you do admit that you think that atheism causes certain behaviors. And since not all atheists do these behaviors, you have nothing more than a false claim. Again, I don't care what Dawkins says. It may be plausible, it may not be. IN any case, actions speak louder than words. Here is what Dawkins says in context: "God eyes are watching me so I'd better be good" Perhaps it is an automatic subconscious effect. Like the effect of Melissa's eyes on paper (in any case you're wondering, by the way, she did the necessary sums ot show the results was unlikely to be due to chance").

"Whether irrational or not, it does unfortunately seem plausible that, if someone sincerely believes in God is watching his every move, he might be more likely to be good."

Then Dawkins goes on to say that it may not be just belief in a god watching you, but the threat of hell that change behavior. Then he goes onto write "Terrifying as hell is, there doesn't seem to be much clear evidence that religion makes people behave either better or worse.

[https://books.google.com/books?id=q1qBDwAAQBAJ&pg=PA90&lpg=PA90&dq=%E2%80%9CWhether+irrational+or+not,+it+does+unfortunately+seem+BIHRg7GoMAjKNCb\\_vUaPWgCQ&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwiQ6ITLhpzIAhUlmeAKHQ4pDroQ6AEwAHoECAMQAQ](https://books.google.com/books?id=q1qBDwAAQBAJ&pg=PA90&lpg=PA90&dq=%E2%80%9CWhether+irrational+or+not,+it+does+unfortunately+seem+BIHRg7GoMAjKNCb_vUaPWgCQ&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwiQ6ITLhpzIAhUlmeAKHQ4pDroQ6AEwAHoECAMQAQ)

Of course, you want to now claim I read too much into your claims. Nope, you have claimed that atheism leads to certain actions, like adultery. You claim this "Yes, I do think atheism can make it easier to sin" which means atheism can make it easier for certain actions e.g. "sin".

[BIHRg7GoMAjKNCb\\_yUaPWgCQ&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKewiQ6ITLhpzAhUlmeAKHQ4pDroQ6AEwAHoECAMQAQ](#)

Of course, you want to now claim I read too much into your claims. Nope, you have claimed that atheism leads to certain actions, like adultery. You claim this “Yes, I do think atheism can make it easier to sin” which means atheism can make it easier for certain actions e.g. “sin”.

So, do atheists have morals, Michael? You say you aren’t claiming that they don’t. People can be stupid when emotions are involved and atheism doesn’t prevent, and no one has “destroyed” his career. Such wishful thinking.

“I am not trying to establish atheism as a cause for certain actions.” And then we have “Yes, I do think atheism can make it easier to sin”. No shadows at all. And no, you weren’t just addressing a “popular atheist”, you were trying to attack atheism “If Krauss is correct, he has given us good reason to think his atheism and scientism is a myth”

I’m not bothered with exposing your false claims at all, Michael. And the only thing “creepy” here is your inability to read what you’ve written. It’s always cute to see some one who has consistent made false claims now trying to blame the victim with one more false claim that I’m looking to be offended. That’s such a classic bully’s excuse.

Well, I suppose you can retcon what you’ve said all you want, Michael. It would have worked if you hadn’t decided to claim that all of atheism was affected by one person’s actions. When you fall into the false claim that somehow famous atheists are all atheists, you fail.

Ah, still trying so hard to associate atheism and pedophilia. Such a TrueChristian™ you are! You said this ““If atheism is merely a lack of belief in a god, are you admitting that pedophilia is atheistic since it too doesn’t involve a belief in god?”

If you're a Christian you are! You said this "If atheism is merely a lack of belief in a god, are you admitting that pedophilia is atheistic since it too doesn't involve a belief in god?"

Here is my response that you seem to be trying to ignore for some reason "Atheism is a lack of belief in god. Pedophilia is "a psychiatric disorder in which an adult has sexual fantasies about or engages in sexual acts with a prepubescent child". You want to claim now that pedophilia is atheistic because it doesn't involve a belief in your god. Hmmm, we could rewrite your silliness as "If atheism is merely a lack of belief in a god, are you admitting that playing soccer is atheistic since it too doesn't involve a belief in god?" See how silly you are? Playing soccer is no more atheistic than pedophilia since neither is concerned with a god at all."

So, is soccer "atheistic", Michael? Why or why not?

I didn't respond to other people because their responses to me haven't shown up in my wordpress feed of comments. I'm guessing that they actually responded to your comment in the string of comments and I can't see them because of that. But I'll respond to them if you'd like.

---

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 14, 2019 at 11:54 am

and again, where are these "ad hominem" and do explain how they work with the definition of what an "ad hominem" argument is.

IF you cannot, then all we have again is your intentional action to make a false claim.

I do love that we're now back to Micheal's insistence that atheism has something

It is not always wrong to lie: see Anne Frank. The bible has repeatedly that this god says it is always wrong to lie (summed up nicely in Romans 3). You have lied.

Hmmmm.

---

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 14, 2019 at 11:57 am

I commented on this michael. It has a link with what Dawkins said in his new book. I will be back to see if you've released my post.

---

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 14, 2019 at 11:59 am

"Oh, and I noticed that many others have replied to you. Is there a reason you are ignoring them? Don't you think that is kind of rude?"

So, Michael, those posts are in moderation. Are you intentionally keeping them there do you can falsely claim I've not responded to people?

---

**clubschadenfreude** says:  
*Your comment is awaiting moderation.*  
October 14, 2019 at 12:01 pm

"Oh, and I noticed that many others have replied to you. Is there a reason you are ignoring them? Don't you think that is kind of rude?"

“Oh, and I noticed that many others have replied to you. Is there a reason you are ignoring them? Don’t you think that is kind of rude?”

So, Michael, those posts are in **moderation**. Are you intentionally keeping them there do you can falsely claim I’ve not responded to people?

---

**clubschadenfreude** says:

*Your comment is awaiting **moderation**.*

October 14, 2019 at 12:01 pm

“Oh, and I noticed that many others have replied to you. Is there a reason you are ignoring them? Don’t you think that is kind of rude?”

those posts have not shown up since you are holding them in **moderation**. Are you intentionally holding them so you can make a false accusation as above?